


The Office has characterized the inventions of Groups I and II as related as a product and process of use. Citing M.P.E.P. § 806.05(h), the Office suggests that the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process, such as "to reflect light rays from a vehicle." However, the Office has not provided reasons or examples to support this assertion, but rather has simply made a conclusory statement. Applicants respectfully submit that there is no evidence of record to suggest that the claimed laminated window can, in fact, "reflect light rays from a vehicle" as alleged by the Office. Accordingly, the Office has failed to meet the burden necessary in order to sustain the requirement for restriction. Applicants therefore respectfully request that the requirement for restriction be withdrawn.

Applicants respectfully submit that the above-identified application is now in condition for examination on the merits, and early notice thereof is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.



Norman F. Oblon
Attorney of Record
Registration No. 24,618

Registration No. 44,541



22850

Tel.: (703) 413-3000
Fax: (703) 413-2220
NFO/TAB/cja
I:\ATTY\TAB\217496US-RESTRICTION.DOC